

PATTERN RECOGNITION ON ORIENTED MATROIDS: LAYERS OF TOPE COMMITTEES

ANDREY O. MATVEEV

ABSTRACT. A tope committee $\mathcal{K}^*$ for a simple oriented matroid $\mathcal{M}$ is a subset of its maximal covectors such that every positive halfspace of $\mathcal{M}$ contains more than half of the covectors from $\mathcal{K}^*$. The structures of the family of all committees for $\mathcal{M}$, and of the family of its committees that contain no pairs of opposites, are described. A Farey subsequence associated with the elements of the m th layer of the Boolean lattice of rank $2m$ is explored.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Tope Committees and Relative Blocking	4
3. The Farey Subsequence $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$	5
4. Layers of Tope Committees	10
5. Layers of Tope Committees Containing no Pairs of Opposites	11
References	14

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{H} := \{\mathbf{H}_1, \dots, \mathbf{H}_t\}$ be a finite *arrangement* of oriented affine *hyperplanes* $\mathbf{H}_i := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle = a_i\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where any two distinct vectors $\mathbf{e}_i$ and $\mathbf{e}_j$ are linearly independent, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^n e_{ij}x_j$. See, e.g., [25, 29] on hyperplane arrangements. The *regions* (or *chambers*) of $\mathcal{H}$ are the connected components of $\mathbb{R}^n - \bigcup_{\mathbf{H} \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbf{H}$. A region $\mathbf{R}$ lies on the *positive side* of the hyperplane $\mathbf{H}_i$ if $\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{v} \rangle > a_i$, for a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}$. Let $\mathcal{T}_i^+$ denote the set of all regions of the arrangement $\mathcal{H}$ that lie on the positive side of the hyperplane $\mathbf{H}_i$. A *majority committee of regions* (or a *committee*, for short) for the arrangement $\mathcal{H}$ is a subset of regions $\mathcal{K}^* := \{\mathbf{R}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_l\}$ such that it holds $|\mathcal{K}^* \cap \mathcal{T}_i^+| > \frac{|\mathcal{K}^*|}{2}$, $1 \leq i \leq t$. A representative system $\{\mathbf{w}_k \in \mathbf{R}_k : 1 \leq k \leq l\}$ is called a *committee* for the system of strict linear inequalities $\{\langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle > a_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$, see, e.g., [1, 2, 14, 22, 23].

Key words and phrases. Blocker, committee, Farey sequence, hyperplane arrangement, oriented matroid, pattern recognition, subset of an association scheme, tope.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 05E30, 11B57, 52C35, 52C40, 68T10, 90C27.

Oriented matroids are defined by various equivalent axiom systems, and they can be thought of as a combinatorial abstraction of point configurations over the reals, of real hyperplane arrangements, of convex polytopes, and of directed graphs. Oriented matroids are thoroughly studied, e.g. in [4, 7, 8, 27, 28, 31].

An application of constructions, that generalize committees for arrangements of linear hyperplanes, to the pattern recognition problem in its abstract setting is as follows.

For a positive integer t , let E_t and $[1, t]$ denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, t\}$. Let $\mathcal{M} := (E_t, \mathcal{T})$ be a *simple oriented matroid* (throughout the paper, ‘simple’ means, in a partly nonstandard way, that $\mathcal{M}$ has no loops, parallel or antiparallel elements) of rank $r(\mathcal{M}) \geq 2$, on the *ground set* E_t , with set of *topes* $\mathcal{T} \subset \{-, +\}^{E_t}$.

The number of topes $|\mathcal{T}|$ can be computed with the help of the technique which was independently proposed by Las Vergnas and Zaslavsky, see [7, §4.6]. The *positive halfspace* associated with an element $e \in E_t$ is the set $\mathcal{T}_e^+ := \{T \in \mathcal{T} : T(e) = +\}$. A subset $\mathcal{K}^* \subset \mathcal{T}$ is called a *tope committee* for $\mathcal{M}$ if it holds $|\mathcal{K}^* \cap \mathcal{T}_e^+| > \frac{|\mathcal{K}^*|}{2}$, for all $e \in E_t$. This paper is a sequel to [19], where it was shown that the family of tope committees for $\mathcal{M}$, denoted by $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{M})$, is nonempty.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the *nontrivial extension* of $\mathcal{M}$ by a new element g which is not a loop, and which is parallel or antiparallel to neither of the elements of E_t ; let σ be the corresponding *localization*. Fix a tope committee $\mathcal{K}^*$ for $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{C}^*$ denote the set of cocircuits of $\mathcal{M}$, and suppose that the sets $\{(X, \Sigma_K := \sigma(X)) : X \in \mathcal{C}^*, X \text{ restriction of } K\}$ are conformal, for all topes $K \in \mathcal{K}^*$. The *committee decision rule*, corresponding to $\mathcal{K}^*$, relates the element g to a *class* **A** if $|\{K \in \mathcal{K}^* : \Sigma_K = +\}| < \frac{|\mathcal{K}^*|}{2}$; on the contrary, g is *recognized* as an element of the other *class* **B** if $|\{K \in \mathcal{K}^* : \Sigma_K = +\}| > \frac{|\mathcal{K}^*|}{2}$, see [19] for more on the two-class problem of pattern recognition on oriented matroids.

In this paper, we present the structural description of the family $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{M})$ of all tope committees for a simple oriented matroid $\mathcal{M}$ which is not acyclic. The description involves certain specific subsequences of the Farey sequences. See, e.g., [13, Chapter 4] on the standard *Farey sequences* $\mathcal{F}_n$ of order n , which are defined to be the ascending sequences of irreducible fractions $\frac{h}{k}$ such that $\frac{0}{1} \leq \frac{h}{k} \leq \frac{1}{1}$ and $k \leq n$.

Among interesting subsequences of $\mathcal{F}_n$ there are some sequences which have a neat set-theoretic and combinatorial meaning: Let A be a proper m -subset of a nonempty finite set C of cardinality n . For all nonempty subsets $B \subseteq C$, arrange the fractions $\frac{|B \cap A|}{|B|}$, reduced to their lowest terms, in ascending order, without repetition. The resulting sequence $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(n), m)$

(considered in [21, §7], where it was denoted by $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(n), m; \rho)$, with ρ making reference to the poset rank function on the Boolean lattice $\mathbb{B}(n)$ of rank n) inherits many properties of $\mathcal{F}_n$.

Since the cardinality of every positive halfspace of a simple oriented matroid $\mathcal{M} := (E_t, \mathcal{T})$ equals $\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2}$, the Farey subsequences of special interest are those analogous to $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(|\mathcal{T}|), \frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2})$.

Although, for any positive integer m , the sequence $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$ is endowed with the order-reversing bijection $\frac{h}{k} \mapsto \frac{k-h}{k}$, the entries within the left halfsequence $\mathcal{F}^{\leq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) := (f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) : f \leq \frac{1}{2})$ and the right halfsequence $\mathcal{F}^{\geq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) := (f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) : f \geq \frac{1}{2})$ of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$ exhibit different behavior. For example, if $m > 1$ then the numerators h of the fractions $\frac{h}{k} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ are symmetrically distributed with respect to the numerator 2 of the fraction $\frac{2}{3}$ which occupies the central position in the subsequence $\mathcal{F}^{\geq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$; the subsequence $\mathcal{F}^{\leq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$ does not have such a property. It is shown in [16, §3, §4] relying on results from the present paper that in fact the sequence of numerators from $\mathcal{F}^{\leq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$ is exactly the sequence of numerators from $\mathcal{F}_m$, and the sequence of numerators from $\mathcal{F}^{\geq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$ is the sequence of denominators from $\mathcal{F}_m$:

Let $m > 1$. The maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{\leq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m, & \frac{h}{k} &\mapsto \frac{h}{k-h}, \\ \mathcal{F}_m &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\leq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m), & \frac{h}{k} &\mapsto \frac{h}{k+h}, \\ \mathcal{F}^{\geq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m, & \frac{h}{k} &\mapsto \frac{2h-k}{h}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\geq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m), \quad \frac{h}{k} \mapsto \frac{k}{2k-h},$$

are order-preserving and bijective.

The maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{\leq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m, & \frac{h}{k} &\mapsto \frac{k-2h}{k-h}, \\ \mathcal{F}_m &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\leq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m), & \frac{h}{k} &\mapsto \frac{k-h}{2k-h}, \\ \mathcal{F}^{\geq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m, & \frac{h}{k} &\mapsto \frac{k-h}{h}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\geq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m), \quad \frac{h}{k} \mapsto \frac{k}{k+h},$$

are order-reversing and bijective.

If $\mathcal{K}^*$ is a tope committee for an oriented matroid $\mathcal{M} := (E_t, \mathcal{T})$ then the disjoint union $\mathcal{K}^* \dot{\cup} \{T, -T\}$ of $\mathcal{K}^*$ with a pair of opposites $\{T, -T\} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is also a committee for $\mathcal{M}$. In a similar way, if $\mathcal{K}'^*$ and $\mathcal{K}''^*$ are disjoint committees, then their union is a committee as well. Such redundant committees are not of applied importance because in practice one searches in

reverse direction, for inclusion-minimal committees: if $\mathcal{K}'^*$ and $\mathcal{K}''^*$ are tope committees for $\mathcal{M}$, with $\mathcal{K}'^* \subsetneq \mathcal{K}''^*$, then the committee $\mathcal{K}'^*$ is preferred. A committee $\mathcal{K}^*$ is called *minimal* if any its proper subset is not a committee. Minimal committees do not contain opposites. Among the minimal committees the most interesting are the committees of minimal cardinality, so-called *minimum committees*.

In Section 2 of the paper, we interpret tope committees for oriented matroids in terms of relatively blocking elements in Boolean lattices. The Farey subsequences $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$ are explored in Section 3. Subfamilies of the family $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{M})$ of tope committees for an oriented matroid $\mathcal{M} := (E_t, \mathcal{T})$, lying on layers of the Boolean lattice $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})$ of subsets of the tope set $\mathcal{T}$, are described in Section 4. In Section 5, we consider the subfamily $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{K}}^*(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{M})$ of tope committees for $\mathcal{M}$, that contain no pairs of opposites, and we describe its structure.

2. TOPE COMMITTEES AND RELATIVE BLOCKING

Let $\mathcal{M} = (E_t, \mathcal{T})$ be a simple oriented matroid on the ground set E_t , with set of topes $\mathcal{T}$. The Boolean lattice of all subsets of $\mathcal{T}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})$. Let Υ denote the antichain $\{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$ corresponding to the family of positive halfspaces $\{\mathcal{T}_1^+, \dots, \mathcal{T}_t^+\}$ which is thought of as a subset of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})$. The poset rank $\rho(v_e)$ of any element v_e in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})$ is $\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2}$, therefore the antichain Υ is *pure* in the sense that it lies entirely on a layer of the graded lattice $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})$.

Interpret a tope committee for $\mathcal{M}$ as an element $b \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})$. Then the family

$$\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{M}) := \{\mathcal{K}^* \subset \mathcal{T} : |\mathcal{K}^* \cap \mathcal{T}_e^+| > \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{K}^*|, \forall e \in E_t\}$$

of all tope committees for $\mathcal{M}$ can be viewed as the subposet

$$\mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon) := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T}) : \rho(b) > 0, \frac{\rho(b \wedge v_e)}{\rho(b)} > \frac{1}{2}, \forall e \in E_t \right\}$$

of all *relatively $\frac{1}{2}$ -blocking elements* for the antichain Υ in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})$. Relative blocking in posets is discussed in [21]. The antichain $\eta_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon) := \min \mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon)$, called in [21] the *relative $\frac{1}{2}$ -blocker of Υ in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})$* , is the family of all minimal tope committees for $\mathcal{M}$; throughout the paper, **min** denotes the set of all minimal elements of a subposet.

For a graded poset $\mathfrak{P}$ with rank function ρ , and for a nonnegative integer k , we let $\mathfrak{P}^{(k)}$ denote the k th *layer* of $\mathfrak{P}$, that is the antichain $\{p \in \mathfrak{P} : \rho(p) = k\}$. If A is an antichain in $\mathfrak{P}$, then $\mathfrak{I}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{F}(A)$ denote the order ideal and filter in $\mathfrak{P}$, generated by A , respectively.

For $k \in [1, |\mathcal{T}| - 1]$, the subposet

$$\mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2},k}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon) := \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})^{(k)} \cap \mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon) \quad (2.1)$$

of elements of rank k from $\mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon)$ is the antichain

$$\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})^{(k)} \cap \bigcap_{e \in E_t} \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{I}(v_e) \cap \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})^{(\lceil (k+1)/2 \rceil)}) ,$$

see [21, Proposition 5.1(ii)].

3. THE FAREY SUBSEQUENCE $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$

Let C be a finite nonempty set of even cardinality $2m$, and A an m -subset of C . Arrange in ascending order (without repetition) the fractions $\frac{|B \cap A|}{|B|}$, reduced to their lowest terms, for all nonempty subsets $B \subseteq C$; the resulting Farey subsequence is

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) := \left(\frac{h}{k} \in \mathcal{F}_{2m} : h \leq m, k - h \leq m \right) .$$

Example 3.1.

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(8), 4) = \left(\frac{0}{1} < \frac{1}{5} < \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{3} < \frac{2}{5} < \frac{3}{7} < \frac{1}{2} < \frac{4}{7} < \frac{3}{5} < \frac{2}{3} < \frac{3}{4} < \frac{4}{5} < \frac{1}{1} \right) ;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(10), 5) = & \left(\frac{0}{1} < \frac{1}{6} < \frac{1}{5} < \frac{1}{4} < \frac{2}{7} < \frac{1}{3} < \frac{3}{8} < \frac{2}{5} < \frac{3}{7} < \frac{4}{9} \right. \\ & \left. < \frac{1}{2} < \frac{5}{9} < \frac{4}{7} < \frac{3}{5} < \frac{5}{8} < \frac{2}{3} < \frac{5}{7} < \frac{3}{4} < \frac{4}{5} < \frac{5}{6} < \frac{1}{1} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

In this section, we explore such sequences, and we start by recalling some basic properties of general sequences

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(n), m) := \left(\frac{h}{k} \in \mathcal{F}_n : h \leq m, k - h \leq n - m \right) ,$$

see also [17].

Lemma 3.2. [21, Proposition 7.5] *Let $\frac{h}{k} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(n), m) - \{\frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{1}\}$, where $0 < m < n$.*

- (i) *Let x_0 be the integer such that $kx_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{h}$ and $m - h + 1 \leq x_0 \leq m$. Define integers y_0 and t^* by $y_0 := \frac{kx_0+1}{h}$ and $t^* := \left\lfloor \min\left\{\frac{m-x_0}{h}, \frac{n-y_0}{k}, \frac{n-m+x_0-y_0}{k-h}\right\} \right\rfloor$.*

*The fraction $\frac{x_0+t^*h}{y_0+t^*k}$ precedes the fraction $\frac{h}{k}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(n), m)$.*

- (ii) *Let x_0 be the integer such that $kx_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{h}$ and $m - h + 1 \leq x_0 \leq m$. Define integers y_0 and t^* by $y_0 := \frac{kx_0-1}{h}$ and $t^* := \left\lfloor \min\left\{\frac{m-x_0}{h}, \frac{n-y_0}{k}, \frac{n-m+x_0-y_0}{k-h}\right\} \right\rfloor$.*

*The fraction $\frac{x_0+t^*h}{y_0+t^*k}$ succeeds the fraction $\frac{h}{k}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(n), m)$.*

Lemma 3.3. [21, Proposition 7.8] *Let $\frac{h_j}{k_j} < \frac{h_{j+1}}{k_{j+1}} < \frac{h_{j+2}}{k_{j+2}}$ be three successive fractions of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(n), m)$, where $0 < m < n$.*

(i) *The integers h_j and k_j are computed by*

$$h_j = \left\lfloor \min \left\{ \frac{h_{j+2} + m}{h_{j+1}}, \frac{k_{j+2} + n}{k_{j+1}}, \frac{k_{j+2} - h_{j+2} + n - m}{k_{j+1} - h_{j+1}} \right\} \right\rfloor h_{j+1} - h_{j+2} ,$$

$$k_j = \left\lfloor \min \left\{ \frac{h_{j+2} + m}{h_{j+1}}, \frac{k_{j+2} + n}{k_{j+1}}, \frac{k_{j+2} - h_{j+2} + n - m}{k_{j+1} - h_{j+1}} \right\} \right\rfloor k_{j+1} - k_{j+2} .$$

(ii) *The integers h_{j+2} and k_{j+2} are computed by*

$$h_{j+2} = \left\lfloor \min \left\{ \frac{h_j + m}{h_{j+1}}, \frac{k_j + n}{k_{j+1}}, \frac{k_j - h_j + n - m}{k_{j+1} - h_{j+1}} \right\} \right\rfloor h_{j+1} - h_j ,$$

$$k_{j+2} = \left\lfloor \min \left\{ \frac{h_j + m}{h_{j+1}}, \frac{k_j + n}{k_{j+1}}, \frac{k_j - h_j + n - m}{k_{j+1} - h_{j+1}} \right\} \right\rfloor k_{j+1} - k_j .$$

The first observation is as follows:

Remark 3.4. *The map*

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) , \quad \frac{h}{k} \mapsto \frac{k-h}{k}$$

is order-reversing and bijective.

In the case where $n := 2m$, Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 can be refined:

Corollary 3.5. (i) *Let $\frac{h}{k} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$. Suppose that $\frac{h}{k} > \frac{1}{2}$.*

Let x_0 be the integer such that $kx_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{h}$ and $m - h + 1 \leq x_0 \leq m$. The fraction

$$x_0 / \frac{kx_0 + 1}{h}$$

precedes the fraction $\frac{h}{k}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$.

(ii) *Let $\frac{h}{k} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$. Suppose that $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{h}{k} < \frac{1}{1}$.*

Let x_0 be the integer such that $kx_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{h}$ and $m - h + 1 \leq x_0 \leq m$. The fraction

$$x_0 / \frac{kx_0 - 1}{h} \tag{3.1}$$

succeeds the fraction $\frac{h}{k}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$. In particular, the fraction $\frac{m}{2m-1}$ succeeds $\frac{1}{2}$.

(iii) *Let $\frac{h_j}{k_j} < \frac{h_{j+1}}{k_{j+1}} < \frac{h_{j+2}}{k_{j+2}}$ be three successive fractions in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$, where $m > 1$, with $\frac{h_j}{k_j} \geq \frac{1}{2}$.*

The integers h_j , k_j , h_{j+2} and k_{j+2} are computed by

$$h_j = \left\lfloor \frac{h_{j+2} + m}{h_{j+1}} \right\rfloor h_{j+1} - h_{j+2} , \quad k_j = \left\lfloor \frac{h_{j+2} + m}{h_{j+1}} \right\rfloor k_{j+1} - k_{j+2} , \tag{3.2}$$

$$h_{j+2} = \left\lfloor \frac{h_j + m}{h_{j+1}} \right\rfloor h_{j+1} - h_j , \quad k_{j+2} = \left\lfloor \frac{h_j + m}{h_{j+1}} \right\rfloor k_{j+1} - k_j . \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. We prove (ii); assertion (i) is proved in a similar way.

(ii) In terms of Lemma 3.2(ii), $t^* := \left\lfloor \min\left\{\frac{m-x_0}{h}, \frac{2m-y_0}{k}, \frac{m+x_0-y_0}{k-h}\right\} \right\rfloor$. Since $\frac{h}{k} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we have $\min\left\{\frac{m-x_0}{h}, \frac{2m-y_0}{k}, \frac{m+x_0-y_0}{k-h}\right\} = \frac{m-x_0}{h}$. Therefore $t^* = \left\lfloor \frac{m-x_0}{h} \right\rfloor$. But the constraint $0 \leq m-x_0 \leq h-1$ implies $t^* = 0$, and the assertion follows from Lemma 3.2(ii).

(iii) We prove (3.3). Lemma 3.3(ii) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{j+2} &= \left\lfloor \min \left\{ \frac{h_j + m}{h_{j+1}}, \frac{k_j + 2m}{k_{j+1}}, \frac{k_j - h_j + m}{k_{j+1} - h_{j+1}} \right\} \right\rfloor h_{j+1} - h_j, \\ k_{j+2} &= \left\lfloor \min \left\{ \frac{h_j + m}{h_{j+1}}, \frac{k_j + 2m}{k_{j+1}}, \frac{k_j - h_j + m}{k_{j+1} - h_{j+1}} \right\} \right\rfloor k_{j+1} - k_j. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{h_j}{k_j} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we have $\min \left\{ \frac{h_j + m}{h_{j+1}}, \frac{k_j + 2m}{k_{j+1}}, \frac{k_j - h_j + m}{k_{j+1} - h_{j+1}} \right\} = \frac{h_j + m}{h_{j+1}}$; hence (3.3). $\square$

Analogous properties of the left halfsequence of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$ are presented in Proposition 3.8 below.

For a fraction $f := \frac{h}{k}$, we denote by $\underline{f} := h$ and $\overline{f} := k$ its numerator and denominator, respectively.

The fractions $f_s \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(n), m)$ are always indexed starting with zero, thus $f_0 := \frac{0}{1}$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $f_s, f_t \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$, where $m > 1$, $f_s := \frac{1}{2}$ and $f_t := \frac{2}{3}$. Fractions from $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$ satisfy the equalities*

$$\underline{f_{t+v}} = \underline{f_{t-v}}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$(\overline{f_{t+v}} + \overline{f_{t-v}}) / \underline{f_{t+v}} = 3. \quad (3.5)$$

for all v , $0 \leq v \leq t-s$.

Proof. Notice that (3.5) holds for $v := 0$.

Let $v := 1$. By Corollary 3.5(ii), the fraction $\frac{h_t}{k_t} := f_t$ precedes the fraction $\frac{h_{t+v}}{k_{t+v}} := f_{t+v}$ with $h_{t+v} = x_0$ and $k_{t+v} = \frac{k_t x_0 - 1}{h_t}$, where $3x_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $m-1 \leq x_0 \leq m$; therefore the numerator and denominator of f_{t+v} are

$$\begin{aligned} h_{t+v} &:= \underline{f_{t+v}} = x_0 = \begin{cases} m-1, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ m, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \\ k_{t+v} &:= \overline{f_{t+v}} = \frac{k_t x_0 - 1}{h_t} = \begin{cases} \frac{3m-4}{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{3m-1}{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.5(i) implies that the numerator and denominator of the fraction $\frac{h_{t-v}}{k_{t-v}} := f_{t-v}$ are

$$h_{t-v} := \underline{f_{t-v}} = \begin{cases} m-1, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ m, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \end{cases}$$

$$k_{t-v} := \overline{f_{t-v}} = \begin{cases} \frac{3m-2}{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{3m+1}{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

We see that equalities (3.4) and (3.5) hold for $v := 1$.

Let $v := 2$. Equalities (3.3) and (3.2) yield

$$h_{t+v} := \underline{f_{t+v}} = \left\lfloor \frac{h_{t+(v-2)} + m}{h_{t+(v-1)}} \right\rfloor h_{t+(v-1)} - h_{t+(v-2)},$$

$$h_{t-v} := \underline{f_{t-v}} = \left\lfloor \frac{h_{t-(v-2)} + m}{h_{t-(v-1)}} \right\rfloor h_{t-(v-1)} - h_{t-(v-2)},$$

respectively. It has been shown that $h_{t+(v-1)} = h_{t-(v-1)}$ and, by convention, we have $h_{t+(v-2)} = h_{t-(v-2)} = 2$. Thus, (3.4) holds for $v := 2$.

Let $k_{t+v} := \overline{f_{t+v}}$ and $k_{t-v} := \overline{f_{t-v}}$. Equalities (3.3) and (3.2) yield

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{f_{t+v}} + \overline{f_{t-v}}) / \underline{f_{t+v}} &= \frac{k_{t+v} + k_{t-v}}{h_{t+v}} \\ &= \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{h_{t+(v-2)} + m}{h_{t+(v-1)}} \right\rfloor k_{t+(v-1)} - k_{t+(v-2)} + \left\lfloor \frac{h_{t-(v-2)} + m}{h_{t-(v-1)}} \right\rfloor k_{t-(v-1)} - k_{t-(v-2)}}{\left\lfloor \frac{h_{t+(v-2)} + m}{h_{t+(v-1)}} \right\rfloor h_{t+(v-1)} - h_{t+(v-2)}} \\ &= \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{h_{t+(v-2)} + m}{h_{t+(v-1)}} \right\rfloor (k_{t+(v-1)} + k_{t-(v-1)}) - (k_{t+(v-2)} + k_{t-(v-2)})}{\left\lfloor \frac{h_{t+(v-2)} + m}{h_{t+(v-1)}} \right\rfloor h_{t+(v-1)} - h_{t+(v-2)}} \\ &= \frac{3 \left\lfloor \frac{h_{t+(v-2)} + m}{h_{t+(v-1)}} \right\rfloor h_{t+(v-1)} - 3h_{t+(v-2)}}{\left\lfloor \frac{h_{t+(v-2)} + m}{h_{t+(v-1)}} \right\rfloor h_{t+(v-1)} - h_{t+(v-2)}} = 3, \end{aligned}$$

that is, we have obtained (3.5) for $v := 2$.

Let v be any integer ≥ 3 such that the fraction f_{t-v} from $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$ is greater than or equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. Equalities (3.4) and (3.5) are proved by induction.

Recall that for $v := t - s$, by convention, we have $\underline{f_{t-v}} = 1$ and $\overline{f_{t-v}} = 2$. We conclude from (3.4) and (3.5) that $f_{t+v} = \frac{1}{1}$. $\square$

Along with Remark 3.4, Proposition 3.6 leads to the following observation:

Corollary 3.7. (i) *The maps*

$$\mathcal{F}^{\geq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\geq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m), \quad \frac{h}{k} \mapsto \frac{h}{3h-k},$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^{\leq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\leq \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m), \quad \frac{h}{k} \mapsto \frac{k-2h}{2k-3h},$$

are order-reversing and bijective.

(ii) *Let $f_s, f_t \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$, where $m > 1$, $f_s := \frac{1}{3}$ and $f_t := \frac{1}{2}$. Fractions from $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$ satisfy the equalities*

$$(\overline{f_{s+v}} + \overline{f_{s-v}}) / (\underline{f_{s+v}} + \underline{f_{s-v}}) = 3,$$

for all v , $0 \leq v \leq t - s$.

Thus, fragments of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$, for large m , look like

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m) \approx & \left(\frac{0}{1} < \frac{1}{m+1} < \frac{1}{m} < \frac{1}{m-1} < \dots < \frac{1}{3} < \dots \right. \\ & < \frac{m-3}{2m-5} < \frac{m-2}{2m-3} < \frac{m-1}{2m-1} < \frac{1}{2} < \frac{m}{2m-1} < \frac{m-1}{2m-3} < \frac{m-2}{2m-5} < \dots \\ & \left. < \dots < \frac{2}{3} < \dots < \frac{m-2}{m-1} < \frac{m-1}{m} < \frac{m}{m+1} < \frac{1}{1} \right); \end{aligned}$$

see Example 3.1; this observation is discussed in more detail in [18, §4]. In particular, the fraction $\frac{h}{k}$, with

$$h = \begin{cases} \frac{m-2}{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{m-1}{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad k = \begin{cases} \frac{3m-4}{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{3m-1}{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

precedes $\frac{1}{3}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$, and the fraction $\frac{h}{k}$, with

$$h = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{m+1}{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad k = \begin{cases} \frac{3m-2}{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{3m+1}{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

succeeds $\frac{1}{3}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$; see [18] for more on neighboring fractions in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$.

We conclude this section by presenting an analogue of Corollary 3.5. It describes some properties (see [16, §4]) of the left halfsequence of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$:

Proposition 3.8. (i) *Let $\frac{h}{k} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$. Suppose that $\frac{0}{1} < \frac{h}{k} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let x_0 be the integer such that $hx_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{(k-h)}$ and $m-k+h+1 \leq x_0 \leq m$. The fraction*

$$\frac{hx_0-1}{k-h} / \frac{kx_0-1}{k-h}$$

precedes $\frac{h}{k}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$. In particular, the fraction $\frac{m-1}{2m-1}$ precedes $\frac{1}{2}$.

- (ii) Let $\frac{h}{k} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$. Suppose that $\frac{0}{1} \leq \frac{h}{k} < \frac{1}{2}$. Let x_0 be the integer such that $hx_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{(k-h)}$ and $m-k+h+1 \leq x_0 \leq m$. The fraction

$$\frac{hx_0+1}{k-h} \Big/ \frac{kx_0+1}{k-h}$$

succeeds $\frac{h}{k}$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$.

- (iii) Let $\frac{h_j}{k_j} < \frac{h_{j+1}}{k_{j+1}} < \frac{h_{j+2}}{k_{j+2}}$ be three successive fractions in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(2m), m)$, where $m > 1$, with $\frac{h_{j+2}}{k_{j+2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

The integers h_j, k_j, h_{j+2} and k_{j+2} are computed by

$$\begin{aligned} h_j &= \left\lfloor \frac{k_{j+2} - h_{j+2} + m}{k_{j+1} - h_{j+1}} \right\rfloor h_{j+1} - h_{j+2}, & k_j &= \left\lfloor \frac{k_{j+2} - h_{j+2} + m}{k_{j+1} - h_{j+1}} \right\rfloor k_{j+1} - k_{j+2}, \\ h_{j+2} &= \left\lfloor \frac{k_j - h_j + m}{k_{j+1} - h_{j+1}} \right\rfloor h_{j+1} - h_j, & k_{j+2} &= \left\lfloor \frac{k_j - h_j + m}{k_{j+1} - h_{j+1}} \right\rfloor k_{j+1} - k_j. \end{aligned}$$

4. LAYERS OF TOPE COMMITTEES

We now describe the structure of the family $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{M})$ of all tope committees for an oriented matroid $\mathcal{M} := (E_t, \mathcal{T})$ which is not acyclic.

The following assertion is a consequence of [21, Theorem 8.4].

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a simple oriented matroid, which is not acyclic, on the ground set E_t , with set of topes $\mathcal{T}$.*

On the one hand,

$$\mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon) = \bigcup_{3 \leq k \leq |\mathcal{T}|-3} \left(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})^{(k)} \cap \bigcap_{e \in E_t} \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{I}(v_e) \cap \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})^{(\lceil (k+1)/2 \rceil)}) \right)$$

and, in particular,

$$\mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2},3}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon) = \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})^{(3)} \cap \bigcap_{e \in E_t} \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{I}(v_e) \cap \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})^{(2)}).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon) &= \bigcap_{e \in E_t} \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}(|\mathcal{T}|), \frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2}): \frac{1}{2} < f} \bigcup_{s \in [1, \lfloor |\mathcal{T}|/(2f) \rfloor]} \\ &\left(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})^{(s, \bar{f})} \cap \left(\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{I}(v_e) \cap \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})^{(s, \underline{f})}) - \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{I}(v_e) \cap \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})^{(s, \underline{f}+1)}) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that one structure refining the description of a layer $\mathbb{B}(n)^{(d)}$ of the Boolean lattice $\mathbb{B}(n)$ of subsets of an n -set, $1 \leq d \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, is that of the Johnson association scheme, see, e.g., [5, §3.2], [9, §2.7, §9.1], [10, §4.2], [15, §21.6]. The *Johnson scheme* $\mathbf{J}(n, d)$ is the pair $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R})$, where $\mathbf{X} := \mathbb{B}(n)^{(d)}$ with $|\mathbf{X}| = \binom{n}{d}$, and $\mathbf{R} := (\mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{R}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_d)$ is a partition of $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$, defined by

$$\mathbf{R}_i := \{(x, y) : \partial(x, y) := d - \rho(x \wedge y) = i\}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d.$$

For any $x, y \in \mathbf{X}$ with $\partial(x, y) = k$, and for any integers i and j , $0 \leq i, j \leq d$, the *intersection numbers*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_{ij}^k &:= |\{z \in \mathbf{X} : \partial(z, x) = i, \partial(z, y) = j\}| \\ &= \left| \mathbb{B}(n)^{(d)} \cap \left(\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{I}(x) \cap \mathbb{B}(n)^{(d-i)}) - \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{I}(x) \cap \mathbb{B}(n)^{(d-i+1)}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cap \left(\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{I}(y) \cap \mathbb{B}(n)^{(d-j)}) - \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{I}(y) \cap \mathbb{B}(n)^{(d-j+1)}) \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

are the same. We have

$$\mathbf{p}_{ij}^k = \sum_c \binom{d-k}{c} \binom{k}{d-i-c} \binom{k}{d-j-c} \binom{n-d-k}{i+j-d+c};$$

the quantity $\mathbf{n}_i := \mathbf{p}_{ii}^0 = |\{z \in \mathbf{X} : \partial(z, x) = i\}|$, for any $x \in \mathbf{X}$, called the *valency* of $\mathbf{R}_i$, is $\mathbf{n}_i = \binom{d}{i} \binom{n-d}{i}$, see, e.g., [24].

The family $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{M})$ of all tope committees for a simple oriented matroid $\mathcal{M} := (E_t, \mathcal{T})$ can be considered as the poset $\mathbf{I}_1(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon)$ of relatively $\frac{1}{2}$ -blocking elements for the *subset* Υ of the Johnson scheme $\mathbf{J}(|\mathcal{T}|, \frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2}) := (\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{R})$ on the set $\mathbf{X} := \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})^{(|\mathcal{T}|/2)}$, with the partition $\mathcal{R} := (\mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{R}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_{\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2}})$ of $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$, defined by $\mathbf{R}_i := \{(x, y) : \partial(x, y) := \frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2} - \rho(x \wedge y) = i\}$, for all $0 \leq i \leq \frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2}$.

5. LAYERS OF TOPE COMMITTEES CONTAINING NO PAIRS OF OPPOSITES

For any element $e \in E_t$, the corresponding positive halfspace $\mathcal{T}_e^+$ of a simple oriented matroid $\mathcal{M} := (E_t, \mathcal{T})$ contains no pairs of opposites. On the other hand, the tope committees containing pairs of opposites have no applied value. We now describe the structure of the family

$$\mathring{\mathbf{K}}^*(\mathcal{M}) := \{\mathcal{K}^* \in \mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{M}) : T \in \mathcal{K}^* \implies -T \notin \mathcal{K}^*\}$$

of all the committees for $\mathcal{M}$ which include no pairs of opposites.

Denote by $\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T})$ a graded meet-sub-semilattice, of rank $\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2}$, of the lattice $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T})$, defined in the following way: the elements of $\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T})$ are the subsets of topes without pairs of opposites, which are ordered by inclusion. This poset is isomorphic to the face poset of the boundary of a $\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2}$ -dimensional *crosspolytope*.

Let $\gcd(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the greatest common divisor of a pair of integers, and define a Farey subsequence $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}), \rho(a))$, associated with an element $a \in \mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T})$, by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}), \rho(a)) := \left(\frac{\rho(b \wedge a)}{\gcd(\rho(b \wedge a), \rho(b))} \middle/ \frac{\rho(b)}{\gcd(\rho(b \wedge a), \rho(b))} : b \in \mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}) - \{\hat{0}\} \right),$$

If $\rho(a) < \frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2}$ then the sequence $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}), \rho(a)) = (\frac{h}{k} \in \mathcal{F}_{|\mathcal{T}|/2} : h \leq \rho(a))$, is a member of the family of the Farey subsequences of the form $(\frac{h}{k} \in \mathcal{F}_n :$

$h \leq m$), considered, e.g., in [3],[21, §7]. In the case where $\rho(a) = \frac{|T|}{2}$, relevant to our context, the sequence $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}), \rho(a))$ is nothing else than $\mathcal{F}_{|T|/2}$, the standard Farey sequence of order $\frac{|T|}{2}$.

The family $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{M})$ can be viewed as the subposet

$$\mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon) := \left\{ b \in \mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}) : \rho(b) > 0, \frac{\rho(b \wedge v_e)}{\rho(b)} > \frac{1}{2}, \forall e \in E_t \right\}$$

of all relatively $\frac{1}{2}$ -blocking elements for the antichain Υ in $\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T})$; for any k , $1 \leq k \leq \frac{|T|}{2}$, define the antichain $\mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2},k}(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon) := \mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T})^{(k)} \cap \mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon)$. This subposet can be described, in view of [21, Theorem 8.4], in the following way:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a simple oriented matroid, which is not acyclic, on the ground set E_t , with set of topes $\mathcal{T}$.*

On the one hand,

$$\mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon) = \bigcup_{3 \leq k \leq \frac{|T|}{2}} \left(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T})^{(k)} \cap \bigcap_{e \in E_t} \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{I}(v_e) \cap \mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T})^{(\lceil (k+1)/2 \rceil)}) \right)$$

and, in particular,

$$\mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2},3}(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon) = \mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T})^{(3)} \cap \bigcap_{e \in E_t} \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{I}(v_e) \cap \mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T})^{(2)}) .$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon) &= \bigcap_{e \in E_t} \bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{|T|/2} : \frac{1}{2} < f} \bigcup_{s \in [1, \lfloor |T|/(2\bar{f}) \rfloor]} \\ &\left(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T})^{(s\bar{f})} \cap \left(\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{I}(v_e) \cap \mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T})^{(s\bar{f})}) - \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{I}(v_e) \cap \mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T})^{(s\bar{f}+1)}) \right) \right) . \end{aligned}$$

The three-tope committees for $\mathcal{M}$ composing the antichains $\mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2},3}(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon)$ and $\mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2},3}(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon)$, described in Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 5.1, are treated and enumerated in [20].

For an integer $m > 1$, let $\pm[1, m]$ denote the $2m$ -set $\{-m, -(m-1), \dots, -2, -1, 1, 2, \dots, (m-1), m\}$. Fix some $d \in [1, m]$, and denote by $\mathbf{X}$ the family of all the d -subsets $V \subset \pm[1, m]$ such that

$$s \in V \implies -s \notin V . \quad (5.1)$$

Let $\mathbf{O}(m)$ denote the family of all the subsets $V \subset \pm[1, m]$, satisfying (5.1), ordered by inclusion, and augmented by a greatest element $\hat{1}$. The least element of $\mathbf{O}(m)$ is the empty subset of $\pm[1, m]$. See, e.g., [6, 26] on the structural and combinatorial properties of the *face lattice* $\mathbf{O}(m)$ of an m -dimensional *crosspolytope* (*hyperoctahedron*, *orthoplex*), dual to that of an m -dimensional *hypercube*. The graded lattice $\mathbf{O}(m)$, of rank $m+1$, is *Eulerian*. The fundamental properties of Eulerian

posets are described in [30, Chapter 3]. The poset $\mathbf{O}'(m) := \mathbf{O}(m) - \{\hat{1}\}$ is *simpli-*
cial. For $d \in [1, m]$, the d th *Whitney number of the second kind* $W_d(\mathbf{O}(m))$, that
is the cardinality of the layer $\mathbf{O}(m)^{(d)}$, is $\binom{m}{d}2^d$.

Let $\mathbf{X} := \mathbf{O}(m)^{(d)}$. Define a partition $\mathcal{R} := (\mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{R}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_d)$ of $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$ in
the following way:

$$\mathbf{R}_i := \{(x, y) : \partial(x, y) := d - \rho(x \wedge y) = i\}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d;$$

here, ρ denotes the rank function on $\mathbf{O}(m)$.

For all elements $x \in \mathbf{X}$, the quantities $\mathbf{n}_i := |\{z \in \mathbf{X} : \partial(z, x) = i\}|$ are the same:
 $\mathbf{n}_i = \binom{d}{i} \sum_{c=0}^i \binom{i}{c} \binom{m-d}{c} 2^c = \binom{d}{i} \sum_{c=0}^i \binom{i}{c} \binom{m-d+c}{i}$, for any i , $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Recall that in the case where $d := m$ and $\mathbf{n}_i = \binom{m}{i}$, for any i , $0 \leq i \leq m$,
the pair $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{R})$ is an association scheme. Indeed, for any $x, y \in \mathbf{X}$ with $\partial(x, y) :=$
 $m - \rho(x \wedge y) = k$, and for any integers i and j , $0 \leq i, j \leq m$, the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_{ij}^k &:= |\{z \in \mathbf{X} : \partial(z, x) = i, \partial(z, y) = j\}| \\ &= \left| \mathbf{O}(m)^{(m)} \cap \left(\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{J}(x) \cap \mathbf{O}(m)^{(m-i)}) - \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{J}(x) \cap \mathbf{O}(m)^{(m-i+1)}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cap \left(\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{J}(y) \cap \mathbf{O}(m)^{(m-j)}) - \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{J}(y) \cap \mathbf{O}(m)^{(m-j+1)}) \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

are the same; the intersection numbers

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_{ij}^k &= \sum_c \binom{m-k}{c} \binom{k}{m-i-c} \binom{i+k-m+c}{m-j-c} \binom{m-k-c}{i+j-m+c} \\ &= \begin{cases} \binom{m-k}{\frac{i+j-k}{2}} \binom{k}{\frac{i-j+k}{2}}, & \text{if } i+j+k \text{ even,} \\ 0, & \text{if } i+j+k \text{ odd} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

are those of the *Hamming association scheme* $\mathbf{H}(m, 2)$, see, e.g., [5, §3.2], [9, §2.5,
§9.2], [10, §4.1], [11, 12], [15, §21.3]. The m -cube $\mathbf{H}(m, 2) := (\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{R})$ is the family $\mathbf{X}$,
of cardinality 2^m , of all words $\mathbf{x} \in \{-1, 1\}^m$, together with the partition $\mathcal{R} :=$
 $(\mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{R}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_m)$ of $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$, defined by $\mathbf{R}_i := \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) : \partial(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := |\{l \in [1, m] : x_l \neq$
 $y_l\}| = i\}$, $0 \leq i \leq m$.

Let $\mathcal{M} := (E_t, \mathcal{T})$ be a simple oriented matroid. The family $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{K}}^*(\mathcal{M})$ of
its tope committees, containing no pairs of opposites, can be considered
as the poset $\mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T}), \Upsilon)$ of relatively $\frac{1}{2}$ -blocking elements for the *subset*
 Υ of the association scheme $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{R})$ on the set $\mathbf{X} := \mathbf{O}'(\mathcal{T})^{(|\mathcal{T}|/2)}$, with
the partition $\mathcal{R} := (\mathbf{R}_0, \mathbf{R}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_{\lfloor \frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2} \rfloor})$ of $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$, defined by $\mathbf{R}_i := \{(x, y) :$
 $\partial(x, y) := \frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2} - \rho(x \wedge y) = i\}$, for all $0 \leq i \leq \frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2}$; the parameters of $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{R})$
are those of the $\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2}$ -cube $\mathbf{H}(\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{2}, 2)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.M. Ablow and D.J. Kaylor, *A committee solution of the pattern recognition problem*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **11** (1965), no. 3, 453–455.
- [2] C.M. Ablow and D.J. Kaylor, *Inconsistent homogeneous linear inequalities*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1965), no. 5, 724.
- [3] D. Acketa and J. Žunić, *On the number of linear partitions of the (m, n) -grid*, Inform. Process. Lett. **38** (1991), no. 3, 163–168.
- [4] A. Bachem and W. Kern, *Linear Programming Duality. An Introduction to Oriented Matroids*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [5] E. Bannai and T. Ito, *Algebraic Combinatorics. I. Association Schemes*, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
- [6] L.J. Billera, S.K. Hsiao and J.S. Provan, *Enumeration in convex geometries and associated polytopal subdivisions of spheres*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **39** (2008), no. 1-3, 123–137.
- [7] A. Björner, M. Las Vergnas, B. Sturmfels, N. White and G.M. Ziegler, *Oriented Matroids*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Vol. 46, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. Second edition 1999.
- [8] J.G. Bokowski, *Computational Oriented Matroids. Equivalence Classes of Matrices within a Natural Framework*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [9] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas] (3), **18**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [10] Ph. Delsarte, *An Algebraic Approach to the Association Schemes of Coding Theory*, Philips Res. Rep. Suppl. No. 10 (1973).
- [11] Y. Egawa, *Characterization of $H(n, q)$ by the parameters*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **31** (1981), no. 2, 108–125.
- [12] H. Enomoto, *Characterization of families of finite permutation groups by the subdegrees, II*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **20** (1973), 1–11.
- [13] R.L. Graham, D.E. Knuth and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics. A Foundation for Computer Science, Second edition*, Addison-Wesley, Reading Massachusetts, 1994.
- [14] M.Yu. Khachai, V.I.D. Mazurov and A.I. Rybin, *Committee constructions for solving problems of selection, diagnostics, and prediction*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2002, Mathematical Programming. Regularization and Approximation, suppl. **1**, S67–S101.
- [15] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes. II*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 16. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam New York Oxford, 1977.
- [16] A.O. Matveev, *A note on Boolean lattices and Farey sequences*, Integers, **7**(1) (2007), #20 (electronic).
- [17] A.O. Matveev, *A note on Boolean lattices and Farey sequences II*, Integers, **8**(1) (2008), #24 (electronic).
- [18] A.O. Matveev, *Neighboring fractions in Farey subsequences*, [arXiv:0801.1981](https://arxiv.org/abs/0801.1981).
- [19] A.O. Matveev, *Pattern recognition on oriented matroids: The existence of a tope committee*, [arXiv:math.CO/0607570](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.CO/0607570).
- [20] A.O. Matveev, *Pattern recognition on oriented matroids: Three-tope committees*, [arXiv:0812.0156](https://arxiv.org/abs/0812.0156).
- [21] A.O. Matveev, *Relative blocking in posets*, J. Comb. Optim. **13** (2007), no 4, 379–403. Corrigendum: [arXiv:math.CO/0411026](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.CO/0411026).
- [22] V.I.D. Mazurov, *Metod Komitetov v Zadachakh Optimizatsii i Klassifikatsii* (in Russian) [The Committee Method in Optimization and Classification Problems], Nauka, Moscow, 1990.
- [23] V.I.D. Mazurov and M.Yu. Khachai, *Committees of systems of linear inequalities*, Automation and Remote Control, **65** (2004), no. 2, 193–203; translated from *Komitety*

- sistem lineinykh neravenstv* (in Russian), *Avtomatika i Telemekhanika*, 2004, no. 2, 43–54.
- [24] A. Moon, *On the uniqueness of the graphs $G(n, k)$ of the Johnson schemes*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **33** (1982), no. 3, 256–264.
 - [25] P. Orlik and H. Terao, *Arrangements of Hyperplanes*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **300**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
 - [26] M.A. Readdy, *Extremal problems for the Möbius function in the face lattice of the n -octahedron*. Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (Montreal, PQ, 1992). Discrete Math. **139** (1995), no. 1–3, 361–380.
 - [27] J. Richter-Gebert and G.M. Ziegler, *Oriented Matroids*, in “CRC Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry. Second edition”, J.E. Goodman and J. O’Rourke (eds.), 129–151, CRC Press Ser. Discrete Math. Appl., CRC, Boca Raton FL, 2004.
 - [28] F. Santos, *Triangulations of Oriented Matroids*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **156**, no. 741, American Mathematical Society, 2002.
 - [29] R.P. Stanley, *An Introduction to Hyperplane Arrangements*, Geometric Combinatorics, 389–496, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., **13**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
 - [30] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 1, Second edition*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 49, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
 - [31] G.M. Ziegler, *Lectures on Polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **152**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Revised edition 1998.

DATA-CENTER CO., RU-620034, EKATERINBURG, P.O. BOX 5, RUSSIAN FEDERATION
E-mail address: aomatveev@{dc.ru, hotmail.com}